(65)

HIGH C.OURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No.3724/1998

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri **S.R.Nayak,** Chief Justice &
Hon'ble Shri **D.R.Deshmukh,** J.

PETITIONERS : 1. Jeshtha Nagrik Sangh, Through its Vice-President-Madhukar Rao Watve s/o Shri Anand Watve, aged about 62 years, r/o Tilak Nagar, Bilaspur.

: 2. Krashnakumar Dua alias Sushil Dua s/o Shri Late Kashiram Dua, aged about 56 years, Businessman, r/o Sarkanda, Bilaspur.

: 3. Heeralal, s/o Shri Mangilal Agrawal, aged about 40 years, Businessman, r/o Imlipara near Geeta Lodge, Bilaspur.

: 4. Manoharrao Tamaskar s/o late Shri Krashnarao Tamaskar, aged about 80 years, Advocate, r/o Tilak Nagar, Bilaspur.

: 5. Bhupendra Rao Tamaskar s/o late Shri Krashnarao Tamaskar, aged about 59 years, Engineer Raymonds Cement Works, R/o Tilak Nagar, Bilaspur.

: 6. Govindrao Bodbole s/o Shri Balkrashna Rao Godbole, aged about 80 years, Advocate, r/o Tilaknagar, Bilaspur.

: 7. Ku. Bindulata Shrivastava D/o late Shri Radhakrashan Shrivastava, aged about 58 years, Advocate, r/o Vinoba Nagar, Bilaspur.

: 8. Jyotibhushan Singh, s/o Shri Rudrapratap Narayan Singh, aged about 53 years, Ex-Jamindar of Korba, r/o Tilak Nagar, Bilaspur.

: 9. Smt. Lata Madhukar Kalaskar, W/o Shri Madhukar Govind Kalaskar, aged about 57 years, r/o Parijat Colony, Nehru Nagar, Bilaspur.

: 10. Smt. Vasudha Sudhakar W/o Shri Sudhakarrao Mendekar, aged about 50 years, Parijat Colony, H/2-Nehru Nagar, Bilaspur.

66

: 11. Krashna Agrawal s/o Shri Pawan Agrawal, aged about 72 years, Businessman, r/o Naya Sarkanda, Bilaspur.

Versus

RESPONDENTS: : 1. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through : Secretary, Department of Local Self & Urban Administrative Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhavan, Bhopal.

: 2. The Municipal Corporation, through : its Commissioner, Bilaspur.

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, learned Sr. Counsel with Shri Amrito Das, learned counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Vinay Harit, learned Dy. Advocate General for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 02.08.2006)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by **S.R.NAYAK, C.J.**

At the time of hearing, it was brought to our notice that the impugned Rule has been subsequently amended. In that view of the matter, this writ petition does not survive for decision-making. It is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd/-
Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh
Judge

Anjani